



**Clarence-Rockland
CORPORATION
de la Cité de/ of the City of
CLARENCE-ROCKLAND**

ADDENDUM #2
13/02/2018 10:33

F18-INF-2018-003

Tender Number

Stormwater Master Plan (SMP)

Request for Proposals Issued On:
30-Jan

Proposal Submission Deadline: 2:00:00pm Local Time in Clarence-Rockland Ontario, Canada on
15-Mar-17

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS TIME SENSITIVE

Please note: This addendum is to be considered part of the above noted Request for Proposal as though included with the original version. This addendum does not affect the closing date/time: Bidders should acknowledge receipt of all Addendum / Addenda by inserting in the space provided on the Bid Submission Form, the numbers of all Addendum / Addenda received during the bidding period.

QUESTION REQUESTING CLARIFICATION

The following questions were received:

1	Question:	What is the vertical accuracy and resolution (number of points/m2) of the available LIDAR data? These factors will determine if additional data is required to meet the intent of the stormwater master plan.
	Reply:	The 2014 LIDAR data from the UCPR has a vertical accuracy of + 15cm in open areas and + 25cm in treed areas with resolution of 4 points per square metre.
2	Question:	Does the City have any hydraulic models of the existing storm sewer system?
	Reply:	No.
3	Question:	Page 10 of the RFP Point 17 –please confirm that there a mandatory site visit. If so, when and where is this meeting to occur?
	Reply:	No site visit for this particular RFP
4	Question:	Page 10 of the RFP Point 18 – please confirm that the bidder has to be prequalified to bid on this project.
	Reply:	The bidder does not have to be prequalified for this project.
5	Question:	Can you provide a copy of the 2009 Sanitary Plan for the City of Clarence-Rockland?
	Reply:	A scanned copy of the 2009 Sanitary Master Plan will be provided to the successful bidder.
6	Question:	Does the City have a preference/methodology for determining a climate change event?
	Reply:	No.
7	Question:	Based on review of the RFP by our legal department it is noted that there is currently no exclusion of indirect and/or consequential damages (per Section 4.6.8) – therefore we request that the following statement is added into the wording of the RFP, “In no event shall either party be liable for indirect, consequential or punitive damages or damages for losses of profits, revenue, business, reputation or financing and lost opportunity.” Please confirm if this change can be made?
	Reply:	Clause 4.6.8 revised to the following; CITY PROVIDED INFORMATION: The City further acknowledges and agrees that the Consultant will not be held liable for any damages / losses of any kind or nature that may result from the use of inaccurate information or data provided to Consultant by the City. In no event shall either party be liable for indirect, consequential or punitive damages or damages for losses of profits, revenue, business, reputation or financing and lost opportunity WORK PRODUCT LIMIT: The City further acknowledges and agrees that the Consultant cannot warrant the fitness of any records, documents or work product that are (i) incomplete due to early termination or suspension of this agreement; or (ii) altered, revised, amended, or modified without the consent or knowledge of the Consultant.
8	Question:	Please confirm that it is acceptable per terms of the RFP (with respect to page limit) that work plan and schedule sheets (in 11"x17" paper size) be provided within appendices, as required, and that these sheets would not count toward the fifteen (15) page limit?
	Reply:	This is acceptable provided that one (1) page is allocated for the work plan and one (1) additional page for the schedule to be included in the Appendix and not counted toward the fifteen (15) page limit.